

Bard College Bard Digital Commons

Hyman P. Minsky Archive

Levy Economics Institute of Bard College

1-1-1992

Economics for Democrats 1

Hyman P. Minsky Ph.D.

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm_archive

Recommended Citation

Minsky, Hyman P. Ph.D., "Economics for Democrats 1" (1992). *Hyman P. Minsky Archive*. Paper 183. http://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm_archive/183

This Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by the Levy Economics Institute of Bard College at Bard Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hyman P. Minsky Archive by an authorized administrator of Bard Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@bard.edu.



Economics for Democrats 1

Hyman P. Minsky

The Jerome Levy Economics Institute
Bard College
Annandale on Hudson, NY

Capitalism was a failed economic system when Franklin Delano Roosevelt was inaugurated in 1933. The financial system was bankrupt, industry was in disarray, agriculture was in revolt and, for many, gainful employment was a Three and a half years of contraction had distant memory. bess- 12 late 1929 an unprecidented collapse of financial in culminated always institutions and asset values. Poverty had characterized capitalism, but the extent was bloated by the "Poverty in the midst of the ability to produce crisis. plenty" aptly characterized the United States: one third of the nation was ill housed, ill fed and ill clothed.

The dismal situation in the United States was replicated iin the other advanced capitalist countries. Orwell's <u>Wiggan Pier</u> and Steinbeck's <u>Grapes of Wrath</u> testify to the failure. The depression in Germany was a necessary ingredient to Hitler's rise to power.

An unprecedented wave of reforms and interventions followed Roosevelt's inauguration. The aim of these reforms

when the place who he

^{1.} The taking off point for this paper is the article "Is There a Democratic Economics?" by Robert Kuttner in The American Prospect, Winter 1992 issue.

was to facilitate recovery and to create a capitalism that would not be subject to great contraction and which incidently would do something about the disasterous disparaties in incomes, wealth and power.

Recovery and reform were often in conflict. We now know that one reason the recovery was not realized until rearmament became the order of the day in 1939 was that the fiscal stimulus was too small, but the Keynesian framework of ideas that make fiscal policy a determinent of the national income was not put together until Roosevelt's first term was comong to an end.²

In a large measure the New Deal created a capitalism which was not subjected to the extreme cyclical instability of the pre World war 2 era and diminished them greates disparities in income distribution. In the 1950's and 60's a closer approximation to peacetime full employment was achieved and sustained than ever before. Furthermore the benefits of this prolonged prosperity were widely distributed throughout the population. By the mid 1960's it seemed as if the major battles against poverty had already been won: Johnson's war on poverty was more of a mop up operation than a critical engagement.

The capitalism that succeded differed in essential ways from the capitalism that had failed. Laissez faire and small government characterized the failed capitalism.

² Keynes' General theory was published in Britain in February of 1936, three years into the New Deal.

Intervention and regulation along with big government characterize the successful capitalism.

Economic stresses and strains have now surfaced in the United States as well as in other successful capitalist economies. The normal evolution of economic institutions and the rise of political forces which do not accept the fragility of successful capitalism have undermined some of the foundations of the success. Poverty has been resilient. It survived the war against it and is now winning battles.

Evolution has taken a toll of some of the institutions of successful capitalism. Features of American capitalism era, such as strong during its most successful responsible trade unions and highly localized and narrowly focused Savings and Loan Associations, have diminished in importance. The compartmentalized financial system that was 1930's fostered enterprise and put in place in the Institutional evolution, such as the rise of investment. pension funds, legislated changes, such as those which broadened the asset base for Savings and Loans, and policy errors, such as the monetarism of the 1980's, transformed the financial system into an engine for speculation. speculative excesses of the 1980's left us with a financial structure that requires continued massive infusions of government funds to prevent the chaos inducing debt deflation of the 1930's from being replicated in the 1990's. Ignorance, complacency and venality seem to be bringing the age of successful capitalism to a close.

A most pernicious change occurred in economic thought during the years of capitalism's success. The critical and skeptical veins of economic thought, which emphasize the capitalism successful and whose conditionality of theoretical structure leads to the proposition that intervention and big government are necessary for successful capitalism, were virtually banished from the academy. the technical proficiency of economists economic theory has been reduced to the mouthing of vacuous phrases such as "The market knows best", "Money matters" and "There is no such thing as a free lunch".

Over the era of successful capitalism, mainstream economic theory lost touch with reality. When the economic theory that inspires economic policy becomes irrelevant, then the economy is on "The Road to Disaster". This happened in the Soviet Union and can happen in the United States.

However capitalism is not frozen in concrete the way Soviet Socialism was. One of capitalism's virtues is that it can take many forms. As one form breaks down another form can be developed and put in its place.

A new model of capitalism is needed for America to achieve once again the broad based success that we enjoyed in the 1950's and 60's. The development of the structures of a new model capitalism requires an understanding of how a successful capitalism is transformed into a failed capitalism. This understanding is what an economic theory

which focuses upon the dynamics of a capitalist economy can provide. We have to use a model of the economy in which a financially complex market economy can be reduced to chaos, as happened some 59 years ago and has been threatened in recent years, as the guide to reconstructing the economy.

"The only thing we have to fear is fear itself" was Roosevelt's clarion call on inauguration day. It expressed a will to replace chaos with order, to create and sustain an approximation to full employment, and to set the country on a road which did not lead to a quick repeat of the 1929-33 debacle. This required changing institutions. The New Deal reformed financial usages, increased resource utilization, fostered resource creation and placed barriers in the way of downward price flexibility.

There was very little in the way of income maintenance by transfer payments in the New Deal. The principle was that income was to be maintained by work. The welfare state, defined as a system of transfer payments, such as aid to families with dependent children, and as a provider of services, such as medicare and medicaid, was not a critical part of the New Deal.

Financial reforms eliminated the gold standard constraints upon the Federal Reserve, insured deposits, compartmentalized banking by dividing banking functions among specialized institutions, provided for closer regulation and examination of banks, financial institutions,

and financial markets and inaugurated transparency as the rule in corporate finance and financial markets.

Resource utilization was promoted by direct employment provided by The Civilian Conservation Corps, the National Youth Administration and the Works Progress Administration. Resource creation took many forms: public works, rural electrification, TVA and other river harnessing projects, the tree belt, reforestation and public housing. In part, resource creation and financial reforms were combined: the long term fully amortized home mortgage was closely tied into the creation of housing and the development of a nation of home owners.

Furthermore, the Reconstruction Finance Corporation invested in enterprises as well as in banks. Barriers against a free fall in the price level included agriculture price supports, minimum wages and government support of trade unions.

It should be noted that CCC, WPA, and NYA were project oriented: only in the emergency start up phase were approved projects of an obvious made-work nature. The labor force was taken as it was. Projects were designed to use existing abilities. A dole was anathema: income from work was to be available to all who were willing and able to work.

Aspects of the current situation resonate with the Hoover years. Once again the official economists intone "Prosperity is just around the corner". Once again gimmicks, such as the "middle class tax cut", are put forth

as devices to bring prosperity back. Once again others this time the Japanese - are held responsible for our ills.
Once again an excruciating waste of human beings is
tolerated.

Of course the economic situation is not as dire as it American capitalism is not the thoroughly 1933. discredited chaotic system it was in March of 1933. The economy is floundering, it is not yet a disaster. Our majorroblems may be social and not economic, but an economic policy that provides a close approximation to employment is a tool for getting a handle on our social problems. America's leaders, Republican and Democrat alike, are like Hoover: they fear to act because they do not understand what is happening. What the United States needs is a New New Deal.

A successful economy fully uses its resources and creates resources. It achieves and sustains a close approximation to full employment. Its ability to produce grows as a by product to sustained full employment. In the aftermath of the second world war the big government welfare and armament state provided a close approximation to full employment. A vigorous creation of both physical capital assets and an educated population took place.

The only legacy of the New Deal that has grown in recent years is the transfer payments system. In particular the income maintenance system, which is anothema to the

principles of the New Deal, has, by means of aid to families with dependent children, created a dole dependent population.

Social Security, which is a continuing success, is endangered because it has not been adjusted to allow for increases in life expectancy. In a full employment world, where a WPA backs up private demand for labor, we could quickly move to 70 as the age of retirement. With 70 as the retirement age the wonders of compound interest and of an expanded labor force will enable the country to afford the costs of an improved Social Security system as well as a universal medical care system that is not means tested.

As the twenty first century approaches, we should not think of putting an exact replica of the CCC, WPA and NYA of the 1930's in place. The details of a permanent program that makes income from work available to all will certainly be different than the often improvised programs of the 1930's. But it is rather foolish not to accept that which worked in our past as a guide to economic policy for our future. We should learn from our history: the Federal government must become the guarantor of full employment and a partner of private enterprise in the creation of resources.

As a starter to the debate I suggest that the Economics of Democrats includes:

As a minimum the agenda for a New New Deal should include:

- 1) Devices that decrease the dependence on transfer payments for those fit to work. In a humane society this can only be done if access to income from work is available to all. We should not think of simply replicating the 1930's CCC, NYA and WPA: these 1930's mechanisms can best serve as prototypes.
- 2). Greater Federal government financing of infrastructure investments and the creation of National research universities and institutes.
- 3). A reconstituted banking and financial system which emphasizes community banks and which will finance the capital development of the country and not dissipate its resources in financing speculation.
- 4). A new Reconstruction Finance Corporation to recapitalize financial institutions and to assure that adequate financing is available for viable projects.
- 5). A universal access health system and vested defined contribution pension systems to supplement social security. The job related health care and pension systems are obsolete in today's competitive environment.
- 6.) A tax system that equitably finances government and is balanced at full employment.

In 1933 it was the genius of Franklin Roosevelt to recognize that we, the people of the United States, were not resource constrained. The disaster of 1929-33 was due to a failure of will and to the blinders which wedded policy to

laissez faire. The requirements for turning the country around in 1992 are the same as they were in 1933: a recognition that we are not resource constrained and the will to throw off the conservative ideology that has done such a disservice to our country.

*