

Bard College Bard Digital Commons

Hyman P. Minsky Archive

Levy Economics Institute of Bard College

4-1987

A Proposal to Eliminate Welfare

Hyman P. Minsky Ph.D.

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm_archive



Part of the Macroeconomics Commons

Recommended Citation

Minsky, Hyman P. Ph.D., "A Proposal to Eliminate Welfare" (1987). Hyman P. Minsky Archive. 420. https://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm_archive/420

This Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by the Levy Economics Institute of Bard College at Bard Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hyman P. Minsky Archive by an authorized administrator of Bard Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@bard.edu.



12 SJR APRIL 1987

Hyman P. Minsky is a professor of economics at Washington University, St. Louis, and a regular SJR columnist.

Johnson's war on poverty falled because it was not wedded to programs to achieve full employment.

Peter Downs,

works on the

contributor to SJR,

assembly line at the

He is a member of

UAW Local 2250.

GM Wentzville plant.

a frequent

By Hyman P. Minsky

Welfare reform is now on the agenda. Reagan who came to office dedicated to dismantling the welfare state may very well end his term presiding over the strengthening of the welfare state.

However what is being proposed by the Governors and in the Senate as welfare reform is not on the mark. The reform proposals emphasize training and work as punishment. The blame for being on welfare is placed on the recipients rather than upon the economy: the victims are blamed for their plight. If reform is to succeed it needs to be based on an understanding of how the welfare "mess" is due to shortcomings of the economy. The welfare "mess" is one of the symptoms of the deep flaws in our economy that have made poverty a growth industry over the past two decades.

Growing impoverization and the welfare "mess" exist because our economy as it is now organized is not capable of achieving and sustaining full employment. Ever since the mid-1960s, over good times and bad, the trend of unemployment has been since

ployment has been rising.

Johnson's war on poverty failed because it was not wedded to programs to achieve full employment. The victories of the civil rights movement are now at risk because of the progressive deterioration of employment. The economic policies of both the Kennedy-Johnson and the Reagan years emphasize tax reductions and defense spending. These mainly benefit the already prosperous. Policy then and now aims to trickle prosperity down from the affluent to the poor. We need a structure of policies that first generates better times for the affluent rarely trickles down to the poor, better times for the poor will, to use a phrase borrowed from Lester Throw, bubble up to the affluent

Welfare reform will not succeed

THE ECONOMY

from the not-so ivory tower

unless it is part of a comprehensive program which aims to achieve prosperity from below; the aim must be to first make workers, working farmers, and the poor better off. Full employment is the first goal of any policy that has any hope of reversing the welfare "mess." The Guaranteed Job Opportunity Program (GJOP) of Senator Paul Simon of Illinois is the starting point for

of the dole. It does not blame the poor for being poor. It does not promise an unrealistic income, the minimum wage remains where it now is.

It is not an empty gesture such as raising the minimum wage in a high unemployment world would be. It reminds us that the minimum wage, to the unemployed, is \$0 per hour. Raising the nominal minimum wage does not

A proposal to eliminate welfare

an effective policy to get America progressing again because it recognizes that the only feasible way of achieving an adequate number of jobs is through government being an open-ended employer. The GJOP proposes that a maximum of 32 hours of work a week at the minimum wage be available to all who come forth: this means that income from work at the rate of about \$100 a week is available to all.

Senator Simon's proposal is honest, tough minded, and doable. It harks back to the successful New Deal trio of WPA, NYA, and CCC. The Simon proposal reflects a Rooseveltian rejection increase employment and will likely be rendered ineffective by inflation. Admittedly \$3.35 an hour will not buy a pink Rolls Royce such as Boesky had, but a guarantee that \$3.35 is available on demand for all who are willing and able to work is infinitely better than what we have

The Guaranteed Job Opportunity Program is the first pillar of any successful reform of welfare, but it needs to be supported by and coordinated with other programs. Our current means tested aid to families with dependent children is a disgrace and should be repealed. In its place we should put a

Tepealed. III its place we still did put

GM upset by negative Post coverage

By Peter Downs

ick Bozich, manager of the General Motors Wentzville Assembly Center, went on the plant's closed circuit television system in early March to tell employees that management was concerned about the negative publicity the plant was getting. GM and the union, he said, were bringing to bear on the St. Louis Post-Dispatch all the pressure they could to stop the negative articles and pay attention to some of the positive things at the plant.

On two Sundays in February, the Post carried front-page articles relaying and elaborating on management's criticisms of the workforce. The first article emphasized that the plant "could be closed by year's end." The second one focused on how the workers "fell short of GM hopes."

Both Paul Wagman, the business reporter who wrote the stories, and David Lipman, managing editor, say that they have received "no more than the normal response to stories." The articles have raised the level of uncertainty that workers and the community of Wentzville have about the future. Larry King, the assistant superintendant of business for the Wentzville School System, says that the school board adopted a because of the "number of articles indicating some clouds in the future" of the Wentzville plant. General Motors pays 45 percent of the taxes that support the Wentzville schools. The company has protested about \$700,000 in taxes over the last two years, which, says King, "Is a large amount for a school system of this size" and is another threat to the school's financial integrity.

The Post report

GM has four plants producing its C and H platform cars: Wentzville; Orion in Orion, MI; Willow Run in Ypsilanti, MI; and Buick City in Flint, MI. Wagman cites a consultant who says that by 1990, production of the C and H cars could fall to a level requiring only three plants by 1990. (C cars are the Buick Park Ave and Oldsmobile 98, made at Wentzville, and the Cadillac Seville. H cars are the Oldsmobile 88, also made at Wentzville, the Buick Regal, and the Pontiac Bonneville.) Quoting plant and company management, Wagman writes

that the Wentzville plant suffers from high costs due to its location, size, and low productivity, so that the plant is "not competitive."

James Harbour, a GM consultant, is quoted in the *Post* that the Wentzville work force "either can get competitive, and get down to 24 hours a car, or they can sit there until 1990, when the car will be well overpriced, and they'll have no jobs anyway."

Wagman also reported, again citing management sources, that some of the problems in improving productivity stem from a poor attitude on the part of

Post says that
Wentzville plant
is "not competitive."

workers and their union. Local officials, he claims, have not cooperated with management and the workforce is plagued by a high rate of casual absenteeism.

Management disagrees

Inside the plant, management paints a very different picture. Bozich says that labor efficiency at the plant has been increasing steadily, cooperation between management and the local union is good, and the cars produced at Wentzville get a good rating from consumers. Bob Moran, the plant's production manager announced over the closed circuit tv system on march 12 that the efficiency of the Wentzville plant is "phenomenal" and is the highest of the four C and H car plants.

Company documents reveal that the Wentzville plant has the lowest controllable costs of the C and H platform plants, an average level of absenteeism, and that cars produced at Wentzville have lower warranty costs than those produced at the other three plants.

Why is there such a difference between public comments about the plant and internal reports? Many of the plant employees, from local union officers such as financial secretary Stan Reasons to line workers like Jim Fischer, say bad press is part of GM's strategy for the contract negotiations scheduled for this summer. The intent, they say, is to put some fear into the hearts of workers and their families and win public support for management's demands. The articles created such antagonism against management, that Bozich told workers that management was as much a victim of the bad press as they were.

Company strategy?

The workers' view gains credence from a paper presented by Alfred S. Warren, Jr., GM's vice-president for labor relations, to personnel directors on Oct. 11, 1983. Someone leaked that paper titled "Actions to Influence the Outcome of Bargaining," to the UAW during the 1984 contract negotiations. In it, Warren stated that actions that could work in the corporation's favor and "influence the union, its membership, the media, and the general public," included plant closings, "whipsawing between plants to get lower costs," and "real and imagined threats to job security."

Wagman scoffs at the idea that management at GM-Wentzville used the articles to further its bargaining aims. He says that the articles were prompted by GM's announcement of impending plant closings. "We'd never really taken a good look at the Wentzville plant, and it had been a long time since we had looked at the truck plant. The plant closings was a good news peg to hang the stories on," Wagman told SJR.

Is the plant competitive?

Another explanation for the difference, suggested by Harbour, is that Wagman failed to distinguish the Wentzville plant's position vis-a-vis other plants within GM, and with plants outside of GM.

Harbour told SJR that he has "no doubt that Wentzville is GM's most efficient C and H platform plant," but, he said, that is not what Wagman asked him. Wagman asked two questions, says Harbour. "One, is the plant internationally competitive? The answer is that it is not. Two, is the plant domescontinued on page 19

non-means tested universal children's allowance of \$100 per month. The present exemption for children in the income tax laws is a perverse children's allowance, for it goes to those with taxable incomes. The children's allowance should be part of a family's taxable income, and the present children's exemption in the income tax should be eliminated. As was recognized during the war on poverty of 25 years ago, a meaningful children's allowance will do wonders in improving the living of what was then called the working poor.

The combination of the GJOP and the children's allowance guarantees \$7600 for a working mother with two children.

Another pillar of a package of welfare reform, and the only part of the package that may apply mainly to welfare, is parental responsibility. The Simon program can be constructed so as to guarantee income from work to all over 17. With income from jobs guaranteed, some of the excuses for irresponsibility vanish. Furthermore there should be no barriers to multiple participants from one family in the job program; given the participation of women in the labor force it might well be the normal state of affairs. With 2 jobs and children's allowances a family of four will earn about \$13,000 per year. By eliminating aid to families with dependent children and introducing a non-means tested job guarantee, the reform package becomes

The fourth pillar to welfare reform is communal" income. Our standard of life depends only partly on our private incomes, for we "consume" the services of parks, schools, safe streets and a wealth of other amenities. One of the great dimensions of America as the land of opportunity to our immigrant forefathers was the availability of education. The Job Opportunities Program should make it possible to improve the amenities in communities throughout the country and to protect and improve our common heritage. Poverty in the United States stems as much if not more from shortcomings in communal services, what Galbraith once called public squalor, as from shortfalls in private income. If we make adequate communal consumption available to all, much of the burden of poverty can be eased.

A Guaranteed Job Program and a children's allowance will not be cheap. A rough estimate of the gross costs might be \$20 billions for jobs and \$55 billions for the children's allowance. Much of the children's allowance will be recaptured in taxes and the job program replaces present welfare and all but short term unemployment insurance: the net costs are lower than the gross costs. Given that the full employment gross national product is well in excess of \$4,000 billions the annual gross costs of a full program will be under 2 percent of GNP and the net costs will be in the neighborhood of 1.5 percent

The major virtue of the GJOP is that it guarantees jobs, it is not a transfer payment scheme. It reestablishes the principle that was central to Roosevelt's New Deal: one must earn one's keep. It does not doom a person to a so called dead end job, for work experience is an entree to better jobs. The well nigh universal system of Community Colleges means that continuing education and training is available. And having a job is motivation for training for a better job. There is no need for making training a condition for participating in the job program.

As the GJOP will be job oriented, the employees will have the satisfaction of seeing visible results from their work. The successful New Deal job programs, such as the Civilian Conservation Corps and the Works Progress Administration, were very much job oriented: their very names emphasized that they were designed to accomplish jobs that needed doing. The reports of the agencies tell of the number of trees planted, the miles of trails developed, and the community swimming pools built. The new programs should also be job oriented, the object of the programs should be to improve parks, build recreation facilities, maintain schools, provide day care,

Much work needs to be done if America is to be whole again. The essential step, as Senator Simon recognizes is jobs that lead to useful outputs. However, the GJOP, essential as it is, cannot do the entire job by itself. It requires help from a children's allowance and a commitment to use the labor hired by the jobs program to improve our common consumption.

Management says that labor efficiency at the plant has been increasing steadily, cooperation between management and the local union is good.