

## **Bard College Bard Digital Commons**

Hyman P. Minsky Archive

Levy Economics Institute of Bard College

1987

## **Securitization Outline**

Hyman P. Minsky Ph.D.

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm\_archive



Part of the Macroeconomics Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

Minsky, Hyman P. Ph.D., "Securitization Outline" (1987). Hyman P. Minsky Archive. 188. https://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm\_archive/188

This Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by the Levy Economics Institute of Bard College at Bard Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hyman P. Minsky Archive by an authorized administrator of Bard Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@bard.edu.



and the form the factor the

## Securitization

- I. Introduction: a definition; securitization is a new financing arrangement or institution that is changing traditional financial institutions. 

  Addicate: F-
- II. The evolution and explanation of the existence of securitization.

commercial leve

- A. Government guarantees: Ginnie Maes, Freddie Macs, and Fannie Maes. Securitization starts in the public sector.
  - 1. Rationale behind the advent of these programs.
    - a. pressure from Savings and Loans
    - b. economic conditions
    - c. provide more funds for mortgages(give people what they prefer-- fixed rate mortgages.
  - Transitional Gains Gap
  - 3. Did Government create the market or just innovate faster than the private market due to cost conditions, economies of scale or some efficiency.
- B. Private sector issues of securities backed by pools of single family mortgages (passthroughs, paythroughs and mortgage backed bonds)
  - Why did it take so long before the private sector started securitizing all on its own (more than 10 years)

Separate Run, -#. needed an established market. b. (needed the appropriate technology the impact of regulations and C. regulatory changed. the connections of globalization d. Prepayment risk and developement of CMOs. New assets being securitized (in chronological C. order) car loans receivables leases credit card accounts 4. 5. commercial mortgages Securitization has changed the number of players and III. methods involved in financial transactions. Mortgages The change from 3 players involved (lender, financial intermediary who issues mortgage and mortgage holder) to 7

The change from 3 players involved
(lender, financial intermediary who
issues mortgage and mortgage holder) to 7
players involved (the original three
plus the investment banker or
underwriter, the trustee, the guarantor,
and the ultimate investor.)

a. What are the implications of this?

What are the implications of this?

1

b. Mortgage holder probably won't know the difference.

B. Businesses have option to bypass traditional funding sources and deal directly with the securities market.

and the porteries

Shaller Shaller

1. If receivables have better credit rating than company, then company has possibility to obtain funds at a lower cost through bundling their receivables and selling them as securities.

a. securitization opens up additional funding avenues; it also can lessen entry barriers.  $\int_{A}^{A} e^{\int_{A}^{A} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$ 

b. if securitization is used will there be any impact on the company's stock prices; is the company more subject to failure.

2. Builders and Real Estate Developers

a. more homes are built within the guidelines that satisfy FHA regulations so the mortgages can be used for Ginnie Maes and Freddie Macs.

b. new funding sources are open: can securitize leases from office building to finance construction or refinance existing office buildings and then securitize the mortgages.

Why does securitization exist? it desirable?

In other words why is

Member, ble der der or production

In Coase's zero transaction cost world, securitization would have no purpose because those who value mortgages most would issue them; however in the real world those who specialize in mortgages, S & L's, have short term liabilities and long term assets (a

What launguale

mismatching problem). Institutional investors who need long term assets would value having the mortgages on their portfolio. Securitization allows those who value the assets the most to own them even they lack the resources to originate them. value the assets the most to own them even if

- V. Lack of Regulations; the existence of trouble spots
  - Since securitization involves so many different types of financial institutions, there is not on regulatory body over the whole process.
  - B. Possible and inherent dangers. Stulist
    - Epic: an example of securitization gone awry.
- Entropenas lie.

  Bunkers lie.

  Custimus lie. The impact on financial institutions; What we can expect to see in the future.
  - Likely regulatory changes could encourage securitization and thus further the specialization of financial institutions.
    - 1. increasing the capital requirements to 7%
    - FSLIC is in big trouble so possible merging with FDIC, then all commercial banks would also share in the costs of supporting the S & L's.

specialization of finance:

1. increasing the capital

2. FSLIC is in big trouk merging with FDIC, the banks would also shat supporting the S & I

Who have been supported to the support of the state of the support of the support