Bard

Bard College Bard Digital Commons

Hyman P. Minsky Archive

Levy Economics Institute of Bard College

1944

Foreign Trade Policy and Employment

Hyman P. Minsky Ph.D.

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm_archive

Part of the Macroeconomics Commons

Recommended Citation

Minsky, Hyman P. Ph.D., "Foreign Trade Policy and Employment" (1944). *Hyman P. Minsky Archive*. 115. https://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm_archive/115

This Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by the Levy Economics Institute of Bard College at Bard Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hyman P. Minsky Archive by an authorized administrator of Bard Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@bard.edu.



FOREIGN TRADE POLICY AND EMPLOYMENT

The exployment economics of Lord Keynes and his followers have been used as a basis for advocating various forms of foreign trade restrictions on the grounds that, by minimizing the drains (loskages) due to foreign trade, a "full employment" program can be made more effective. This paper represents an attempt to prove that, by proper co-ordination of re-employment programs by nations, an effective expansion can take place mithul my sub vibrition leng und

A concept necessary to our study is that of the "international margin" as discussed by Professor Iversen in <u>Sconomic Fragments</u>. The international margin of a country depends upon the relative size of its ownership of internationally accepted modia of exchange (gold, foreign securities, margin margin is large.

Obviouely, countries which have a great international Me Attimation margin are to a great extent, independent in regard to expansion policies. Therefore, in any co-ordinated system of expansion, the initiative rests with these independent countries. Amongst such countries, in the immediate postwar world, the United States will be pre-eminent.

A problem which concerns some writers in the field of international trade is the possibility of a chronic shortage of American dollars. They assert that the world as a whole desires to purchase more American goods than America desires to purchase from the rost of the world. They also assert that, even if the United States did behave like a creditor nation, the problem would remain. The argument is phrased by Kindleberger in a memorandum, International Honetery Stabilization. page 6, as follows: "The rise in B's exports, however, results in an increase in incomes in B, meet of which in turn is spent for imports from A. This rise in imports may be larger than the increase in exports which prompted it, with the result that the original stimulus to the favorable balance of trade in B eventuelly produces an unfavorable balance." 'A' represents the United States, B, the countries suffering from the "chronic shortage" of dollars.

Such an argument reate upon the effect of an increase in exports upon the income in country B. In analyzing changes in income, some form of the multiplier analysis is a useful tool. The multiplier which is used in this paper, frankly, is a means of tracing expenditures; not spending is assumed to be the only drain from the stream of income payments. The concept of "drains" of "leakages" from the soney flows, the effects of the possibility of holding money or its equivalent, is the subject of analysis of this entire process. Expenditure on investment goods is equivalent in its effect upon future income to expenditure upon consumption goods; the effect remains the same regardless of whother the objects of

- 2 -

the expenditures are investment or consumption goods.

The grabols to be used and their definitions are as

follows:

- d: the marginal propondity to spend upon domestic goods
- a: the marginal propensity to spend upon imported goods
- h: the marginal propensity to not spend; i.e., a concept equivalent to hearding
- Ax: the autonomous increase in exports for the country under consideration; 1.8., an increment in exports
- ΔY : the increment in income.

Throughout this paper, all increments are considered to continue for the period under discussion; thus an eventual total rise in income can be discussed. A further assumption ande is that all of the marginal propensities remain constant throughout the income region under discussion. In addition, the sultiplier will be considered as a "time sequence" affair, even though the definition of the "day" and other concepts necessarily entails considerable difficulty. However, the working out of the process in time is the most fruitful way of looking at business cycle matters. A gross imperfection in this paper is that almost all discussion is in terms of the eventual states, and the "interim" relations are not discussed. Certain of our conclusions may be vitiated by a core completely dynamic analysis. In the first part of the paper, the assumption is made that the supply curves for factors and products are infinitely electic; i.e., the quantity used (produced) can be increased without increasing the price.

We also have a + d + h = 1, for, mocording to our definitions of the "marginal propensities", the latter are exclusive and exhaustive means for the disposal of income. We therefore have the following sequences:

	and an	STR.			
	1 1 1	21	3 1	A	100
Income	DX	dox	d ² DX	d ³ DX	Dx I-d
Isports	60 X0	MAX	mdox	mdax	m DX 1-d
Increase in cash balances		hox	hdsx	haox	hax 1-d
(ALEE	Δ×	Δx	dax	d ² ax	

The sum of the various ways to dispose income is equal to the previous days' income.

Returning to the quotation from Mr. Kinleberger's work, we are given that the rise in imports is greater than the increase in exports, AI. Thus:

$$\frac{m \Delta x}{1-d} = m + h$$

$$\frac{1-d}{m+h} = m + h$$

$$\frac{m}{m+h} = 1$$

$$\frac{1}{1+m} = 71$$

$$\frac{h}{m} \leq 0$$

m > 0 or there would be no problem of the nature envioaged by Mr. Mindleberger; e.g., if the marginal propensity to import is negative, a rise in exports can only result in a decrease in imports, therefore further improving the trade position of a country.

Therefore h < o; i.e., the marginal propensity to save is negative --- with a rise in income, the total volume of paving decreases. This means that m + d > /. The spending

- -

habits of the members of the community are much that a rise in income will lead to expenditures greater than the increment of income. Such behavior is characterized by Samuelson and others as "unstable."

Studies of the marginal propensity to consume found the aggregate marginal propensity to consume well less than 1, which implies that h > 0. However, we cannot, on a priori grounds, exclude this negative sarginal propensity to save. and this result certainly calls for interpretation.

marchent The total volume of "saving" or "hoarding" out of the new level of income is equal to $\frac{h \Delta x}{1-d}$ and if h < 0 and d < 1, the entire term is < 0. The pattern of individual behavior which is implied by a negative marginal proponsity to save is such that a \$1.00 rise in incose results in expenditures of more than \$1.00. Such behavior cannot long continue unless there is a source of individually held hourds which can be drewn upon. The rise in exports may cause such favorable business enticipations that stores of the money are brought into use.

Or more usually, the banking system will, on the basis of these more favorable anticipations, extend credit. Coning system can be brought under the category of new income-Sichaily, output friends - expansion Month - by the bank-oreating expenditure. Insemich as in the world as it existed and - by the just prior to the outbreak of this war, great and him the Commenter conse-oreating expenditures were introduced into the economy by fiscal measures, and insofar as in the postwar world such measures may be considered as an exceedingly likely method

elea

of expansion, fiecal expansion is a very important method of inserting income-oreating expanditure into the economy. All three methods are equivalent in their direct effect upon income and exployment levels, differing primarily in eccondary effects. Therefore the language of fiscal expansion will be used, although it must be remembered that all are equivalent.

Instead of assuming a negative "marginal propensity to save" on the part of the community, we shall assume that h > 0 and that the fiscal authorities (or the banking system, or individual dishoarding) insert $(\lambda - i) \triangle \times$ of new incomeoreating expenditures into the system. Therefore the total income-oreating expenditure becomes $\lambda \triangle \times$; and $\frac{\lambda \triangle \times}{m+h} = \triangle \gamma$: According to Kindleberger,

$$\frac{m \lambda \Delta x}{m + h} > \Delta x$$

$$\lambda > 1 + \frac{h}{m}$$

$$\lambda - 1 > \frac{h}{m}$$

1/

In order to have the foreign exchange result which is envisaged by Kindleberger, the fiscal authorities must insert more than $\frac{h}{m}$ ax of new income into the community. Since h and m are both greater than zero, we find that an unfavorable foreign trade position will not result from an increase of exports unless there is a secondary expansion too great in magnitude; and we also find that, due to the increase in exports, a country may engage in internal expansion without

1/ See J.J. Polek, Balance of Payments Problems of Countries Reconstructing with the Help of Foreign Loans, <u>Quarterly</u> Journal of Economics, February, 1943, Appendix, page 233. His expansion ratio, q. is the same as my λ . The basic work in this paper had been finished prior

The basic work in this paper had been finished prior to the appearance of the article, but I have profited from reading it.

causing its foreign trade balance to become less favorable than it was before the increase in exports.

 $\lambda - \ell_{m} = \frac{\lambda}{m}$, may be considered as a measure of fiscal independence; if $\lambda - \ell$ is large, a country will be considered fiscally independent; if it is small, the country will lack independence in fiscal policy; that is, aside from its international margin.

The extent of the possible expension depends upon the preserve relative size of the marginal propensity to import and the marginal propensity to save. Country 1 h i m 1 $\lambda - 1$ i K = h + m

Nu s

Country	1 h 1	m	1-1	K=h+m3	3
2	1/20	1/2	./	1-81838	1
2	<u> </u>	1/20	3	5	
3	1 20	1/20	(10	
4	₹ <u>9</u> ₹ <u>30</u>	9		1.1	

In country 1, when its export surplus rises, by, say, one million dollars, the fiscal authorities will be able to spend only one hundred thousand dollars more; incose will rise by two millions; one-half of the increase will be spent on imported goods, and imports will equal experts.

h

In country 3, an equal export surplus will enable the fiecal gatherities to add three sillions to the income stream; income will rise by twenty millions, imports by one million.

Both countries 3 and 4 will be able to add only one million dollars by fiscal measures. In country 3, however, income will rise by twenty millions, imports by one million; while in country 4 income will rise to two and two-tenths millions with imports rising by one million.

* 7 *

The country with a small propensity to save and a large propensity to import would have both little expansionist independence and a small multiplier effect. A country with a large propensity to save and a small propensity to import would be, to a great extent, fiscally independent. The last two examples illustrate that it is not alone the propensity to save, or the propensity to import which determines expansion possibilities; it is their relative magnitude. If they are about equal, a country will not be able to engage in extensive internal expansion without soon running into difficulties. However, where they are both small, a small increase in expanditures by the government results in a large rise in income; where they are both large, the rise in income will be small.

The relation $\lambda - /$ may be considered as a seasure of the independence of fiecal policy on the part of any partieular country. Barring a large stock of some accepted medium of international exchange (e.g., gold or long-term loane), those countries whose marginal propensity to save is less than their surginal propensity to import, will, shen subarking upon a program of fiscal expansion, find that whatever favorable balance on current account they have soon disappears and a policy either of retrenchment internally or of abundoning free international trade necessary. The case of Denmark, described by Iversen, falls into this category. Its large propensity to import plus the relatively small propensity to save resulted in an exchange deficit. Denmark did not have a large gold stock and it either had to borrow abroad, depreciate (either internally or internationally) or adopt exchange control. It chose exchange control. We can assume

- 8 -

that if a country must shoose between free international trade and full employment policies, it will, in our ers, choose full employment. (Aur problem is to reconcile the two insofar as it is possible.

If h = 0 , we have d + u = 1, $k = \frac{1}{m}$, $\frac{\Delta x}{m} = \Delta y$. Therefore $m\left(\frac{\Delta x}{m}\right) \equiv \Delta x$; i.e., if the marginal propendity to save is zero, imports will eventually rise to the level where they always equal exports. This means that, for example, if American exports increase and the fiscal authorities follow a policy of compensating for leakages due to savings out of the increased income, then imports will eventually increase to be just equal to the level of exports. Thus, if $E = (A-1)\Delta x =$ $\Delta h \left(\frac{\Delta x}{m}\right)$, the amount of income-creating expenditure, E, is just enough to compensate for the non-epending out of the increase in income due to the increase in exports.

 $\Delta x = \text{fint in exports}$ $\frac{\Delta x}{m+h} = \Delta y$ $h\left(\frac{\Delta x}{m+h}\right) = \text{increase in savings out of rise in exports; also the insert into the increase stream by fiscal authorities.
<math display="block">\frac{h}{(m+h)^2} = \text{rise in income out of insert}$ $\frac{h^2 \Delta x}{(m+h)^2} = \text{second governmental insert}$ The sum of governmental inserts is

 $\frac{h}{m+h} \Rightarrow \frac{h^2 \Delta \chi}{(m+h)^2} \Rightarrow --- = \frac{h}{m+h} \left(1 + \frac{h}{m+h} + \frac{h^2}{(m+h)^2} + ----\right) \text{ and}$ insolar as m > 0 and h > 0 : we have $\frac{h}{m+h} < 1$ and we have the sum equal to $\frac{h}{m+h} \left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{h}{m+h}}\right)^2 + \frac{h}{m} \Delta \chi$ which is $(A-1) \Delta \chi$.

- 9 -

Therefore, the sum of governmental income-creating erpenditures designed to offset savings is equal to the volume of expansion/which a country can engage on the basis of a favorable balance on current account. The level to which income will rise due to a policy of offsetting savings is:

$$\frac{\Delta \times}{m+h} + \frac{h \Delta \times}{(m+h)^2} + \frac{h^2 \Delta \times}{(m+h)^2}$$

$$= \frac{\Delta \times}{m+h} \left(1 + \frac{h}{m+h} + \frac{h^2}{(m+h)^2} + \dots\right)$$

$$= \frac{\Delta \times}{m+h} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{h}{m+h}}\right) = \frac{\Delta \times}{m} , \text{ which is th}$$

level of income when savings are identically equal to zero, and is the level at which imports are equal to exports.

Therefore, working at the problem of an exchange surplus or exchange deficit, we find that the exchange surplus can be laid to an insufficient expansion by the country experioneing the surplus, the exchange deficit to too great an expansion. We see, therefore, that by a proper manipulation of the levels of income, the exchanges can be brought into balance. The country with an import balance must contract; the country with an import balance must contract;

However, looking at the postwar exchange problem with some "realism," we must take as our basic premise the impossibility for any country to contract employment. In the world as it exists, we must assume that the first effect of a decline in money flows is a fall in the level of employment; that whatever price-coat adjustments are made come later in the cyclical process. Each country must attempt to keep employment as near to a "full employment" level as is possible. Therefore, the bolution" would be in the direction of expansion in the fiscally independent countries and the countries with export surpluses. If a country must

- 10 -

choose between maintaining free international trade and maintaining a high level of employment, it will invariably, if it potesses any economic sovereignty, choose the full employment solution. However, by a proper co-ordination of policies, it is possible for the countries to maintain both full employment and free international trade.

The first presise is the maintenance of full employment in the "fiscally independent" countries, or the countries with a large international margin. They should not attempt to minimize the leakages due to imports. There should be sufficient co-ordination so that the inowledge of import surpluses in the expanding countries will result in expansion by the countries with an export balance until they have achieved a full employment level. The co-ordinating principal, therefore, for the behavior of the countries with little independence in expansion is to expand internally to the limit of their ability.

This expansion results in imports becoming equal to exports; therefore, for the countries taking the iniative in expansion, the net leakage due to imports is zero, and the efficiency of an expansion program is greater than if leakages, while being minimized, were not "returned" and the countries with an export belance allowed the expansion in the other countries to be the only stimulating factor. Frankly, what is suggested is that each country attempt to maximize its own real income.

A problem arises when, let us say, the United States has achieved a full employment level and Britain (the rest

- 11 -

of the world) has expanded to the extent of its favorable balapoc and still has unemployment. Further expansion is possible only with an additional foreign exchange oredit. This may be achieved by means of loans from the United States. These loans will enable a multiple expansion within Britain.

However, the problem of servicing this debt arises. A capital transfer of this nature eases the exchange problem of the country, but each period after the capital transfer the country will have to increase its exports over its imports by the ascant of the debt service. This means that a portion of the investment should be directed toward lowering imports or increasing exports. The United States will then, while having an income stream as large as its provious income stream due to the interest payments, have some unemployed factors.

Further expansion is then indicated within the United States. This will enable still further expansion in Britain. The level of employment will rise in Britain; the volume of goods and services available for use in the United States will increase. The world will have moved to a new, higher level of income. This is obviously a long run solution. During the period of the working out of this process, Britain, prior to increasing its exports, will increase its imports; during this period the United States will either have to inprease prices or decrease the offsets to savings; in other words, part of the increased investment abroad will serve to increase demostic income.

- 12 -

The encunt of the investment abroad which goes to inorease demestic exports is not equal to the marginal propensity to import of the other country times the magnitude of the loan. As a result of the loan, Britain will be able to to engage in a domestic expansion (A-I) times the loan; $\Delta m \left(\frac{A \log m}{\Delta m + \Delta h}\right)$ = imports; loan = imports; Britain will import the entire value of the loan from the United States, which obviously results in a further expansion within the United States. Therefore, the lovel of income expansion within the United States due to the export of a foreign oredit trade/will be equivalent to the expansion which would have resulted from an equivalent investment at "home."

If foreign loans are not available, Britain will still be faced with the necessity of increasing its level of employment. The loans may not be forthcoming because, at the existing factor prices and the existing relative prices for domestic and foreign goods, the rate of return on investment is not sufficient to induce investment. In order to increase employment, it is necessary to increase exports and decrease imports. This can be achieved by two methods which are logically equivalent; i.e., exchange depreciation or wage reduction.

To the extent that foreign goods are part of the "wage goods" of Keynes, both exchange depreciation and wage reduc-<u>2/</u> <u>tion will lower the real wages. In a country such as Britain.</u> <u>3/</u> See Martin Bronfenbrenner, The Keynesian Equations and the Balance of Payments, <u>Review of Boonomic Studies</u>, June, 1 940, Volume VII, Mumber 3, ppg. 180-185.

- 13 -

where the standard-of-living goods are imported, both wage reduction and exchange depreciation lead to a fall in real income. However, wage reductions will decrease the standard of living, but will not increase the cost of foreign capital goods. Exchange depreciation will increase not only the price of wage goods but also the price of investment goods. If a country is dependent upon foreign sources for its capital goods, a policy of exchange depreciation will increase the cost of investment.

However, in general, these countries which import investment goods are the countries with a high rate of return upon investment. Those countries which have a low rate of return on investment will produce a great ascount of their own investment goods. A reduction of wages, without any deoline in employment, will decrease imports and increase erports; however, the non-wage incomes will increase. Inneuroh as the non-wage incomes are the higher incomes and the consumption goods which the United States exports are of the kind which higher income groups tend to consume, the reduction of wages will not decrease these imports; therefore, the amount of fracdom of action gained will not be as large as if the United States value of all incomes had been decreased.

Insofar as a country has a foreign debt which must be serviced, both wage reduction and exchange depreciation will make the foreign debt service in terms of effort more diffioult. However, wage reductions will put the entire burden upon the working class; exchange depreciation will distribute this burden amongst all income groups. The effect of exchange

- 14 -

depreciation, therefore, will be more equitable internally and will also tend to be more effective in reducing imports and increasing exports.

As a result of its more favorable foreign trade position following the depreciation (we will discuss the depreciation regult, recognizing that both regults are equivalent in their foreign balance effects), aritain will be enabled to expand internally. The United States, which now has some unemployment, will also expand internally. The amount of depreciation necessary will not be so great as would be the case if this secondary expansion in both countries had not taken place. Goods which the United States imports from Britain will now be cheaper. The real income of the United States will have increased. British income will also have increased. This policy of depreciation, when a country is unable to achieve full employment without it, must be recognized as a legitimate means of getting fiscal "albow room" to carry out its program of employment expension. The depreciation should not be indulged in to gain a competitive advantage; it should really be understood to be a means of making internal flees) expansion possible. The internal program of expansion following a depreciation should be carried to that extent where imports belance exports. If a country should depreciate to achieve this fiscal independence, it should not be accused of trying to reach full employment on the shoulders of other countries.

The depreciation, therefore, shen a country cannot achieve full employment on the bases of its expansion margin at the old exchange rate, is the second principle indicated.

- 15 -

Inasmich as such a depreciation means a fall in the real income of the country concerned, it would not be employed indiscriminately in a world where interdependence were provalent.

As a result of the change in relative prices, due to the depreciation, on the margin of investment, it will become profitable to invest in certain industries in which it was not profitable to invest before in the depreciating country. Therefore, with the depreciation of Britain's currency, we can expect a flow of international investment to Britain -- emother factor which, in a stable world, would make the necessary emcurt of depreciation smaller than it otherwise might be.

An alternative approach would be for the United States to continue its expansionist policy even after it had achieved full exployment. Here an important, but not frequently sentioned, property of the multiplier should be analyzed. The multiplier traces the flow of increments of money income. The marginal propensity to commune as an aggregate is composed of the sums of marginal propensities to consume particular goods. As an expansionist policy is followed out, assuming a stable monetary spending and not-spending pattern, further expansion of the production of particular goods will osume a rise in the prices of these goods.

In terms of income effect, this rise in prices will not operate as leakages; in terms of the employment effect, the rise in prices will be equivalent to a drain. As an economy approaches full employment, more and more of these bottlenecks appear -- bottlenecks in the sense that the efficacy of the expansionist program is decreased insofar as the employment

- 18 -

effect is concerned. Therefore, the general statement may be made that the employment multiplier is equal to, or less than, the money income multiplier.

The relation between the soney income multiplier and the employment multiplier depends upon the price electicity of demand and supply of those goods for which the electicity of supply is not infinite and the marginal propensity to consume these particular goods. That is, it depends upon the rise in price and the importance of the good in the budget.

If $\triangle y$ is the rise in income, and θ , β , $(\beta = price,$ 9 = quentity) is the expenditure on a commodity prior to the rise in income, and g.p. is the expenditure on the composity after the rise in income, we know that the marginal everage propensity to consume this particular good, c, the particular good, c, the particular and charge in $\frac{g_2 k_2 - g_1 k_1}{\Delta y}$. If there is no increase in price, $k_1 = k_1$ and we have $\frac{k_1(g_2 - g_1)}{\Delta y} = c$, and obviously this is proportional to the increase in output and employment. If $k_2 > k_1$, i.e., the price rises, we have $\frac{P_1 P_2 - P_1 P_1}{\Delta y} = \frac{P_1 (P_2 - P_1)}{\Delta y}$. Now if there are n goods in the economy, the multiplier can be written as $k = 1 - \frac{p_{i2} p_{i2} - p_{i1}}{p_{i2} p_{i2} - p_{i1}}$ where $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_{i2} p_{i2} - p_{i1}}{\Delta Y} \frac{p_{i1}}{p_{i1}}$ is obviously the total <u>matched</u> pro- k_{21} ΔY on the neurophic anniated with the nie in prince in pensity to consume. Now if A > K, the multiplier would be greater than if $p_1 = p_1$, but the change in employment which results is proportional to $\frac{P_{i}(g_{1}-g_{i})}{\Delta Y}$; therefore the multiplier written above, while correctly expressing the rice in sonsy incomes, overstates the rise in employment.

If to $\frac{p_1 p_2 - p_1 p_1}{\Delta y}$ we add and subtract $p_1 p_2$ in the manerator, so get $\frac{p_2 p_2 - p_1 p_2 + p_1 p_2 - p_1 p_1}{\Delta y} = \frac{p_2 (p_1 - p_1)}{\Delta y} + \frac{p_1 (p_2 - p_2)}{\Delta y}$

- 17 -

The term $\frac{k_1(\beta_2 - \beta_1)}{\Delta Y}$ is proportional to the rise in exployment; the term $g_2(p_2-p_1)$ is proportional to the rise in prices; and, from the point of view of the employment multiplier, the term $\frac{5}{2} \frac{9}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$ upon imports or not spending in its effect upon future incomestreams.

If we use the for the suployment multiplier and km for the money income sultiplier, we have $k_{e} = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{ii}\left(q_{i2} - q_{ii}\right)}{\Delta y}} \quad \text{and}$ $k_{m} = \frac{1}{1 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{ii}\left(p_{i2} - q_{ii}\right)}{\Delta y} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{ii}\left(p_{2i} - q_{ii}\right)}{\Delta y}\right)}$

and ke < km .

The leakages from the amployment stream due to the rise Swe wat 1) in prices will be reflected by higher incomes of the factors which are used in producing these goods. Incofar as this would be an increase in wages, we may expect the marginal propensity to spend not to change greatly; if it results in an increase in other incomes, the not spending may be expected to increase. Innomuch as most of this higher income remains in the income stream, these leakages are not the same as the "once and for all" not spending leakages. (The increased inporte by the other countries due to a rise in American imports will, of course, make the import drain not a "once and for all" drain.) The return on investment in these industries producing these higher priced goods will generally increase. This increased inducement to invest may induce a greater amount of investment, which may lighten the need for governmental expanditures.

The effect which is pertinent to our analysis is that

- 18 -

as one country, the United States, approaches full employment, while smother country, Britain, still has a considerable quantity of unemployed resources, the prices of certain goods produced in the United States will rise. This will tend to lead to a substitution of British for American sources of supply. The rise in British exports will, according to our fracedary analysis, allow for a multiple expansion; the decrease of American exports will either result in a fall in prices or in the necessity for further expansion.

Even after a country has achieved full employment, contimation of the expansion of monetary incomes may be desirable in order to increase imports and thereby stimulate other econonice by working primerily through the relative price adjustsents, which were the sole means of adjustment in the classical analysis. This price-income inflation in the fully employed economy is obviously a way of adjusting the relative prices of the factors and of securing an international balance. Its greater difficulty politically, however, would indicate that the alternative policy of deflation in the unemployed economy is desirable. However, the choice is primarily a "practical" one; a difference exists in the mechanism, not in its ond result. The boom which may follow an inflation in an economy so given to expansionist periods as the American economy seems to be would indicate that the "in general" keeping of the domestic price level fairly stable is preferable.

Inasmuch as part of the mechanism of adjustment is the developing price differential between American and British sources of supply for the same commodity, the incentive to

- 19 -

invest in British sources of supply will increase, and if Britain is taken to represent the entire group of countries where the supply of capital goods is loss plentiful than in the United States, the progressive capitalization of the world is one result of the processes of adjustment.

It is interesting to note that an emalysis which began with the now orthodox underemployment assumptions evolved into a mechanism for adjustment which is based upon the movements of relative prices. There is no sharp distinction between full and less than full employment economies; as an economy approaches high levels of employment, the relative price-allocational effects of classical full employment economies comes into play, and that is what one would expect. However, it is too often forgetten that the relative price adjustments, both between and within economies, may become operative with a great deal of unemployed resources.

Adjustment after the war will be easier, and the postwar world will be more stable if there exists an international money such as the British Found Sterling was before 1914. The United States, as the country with both the greatest fiscal independence and the greatest international margin, should adopt a fixed dollar policy -- invariant as to whether the current account be favorable or unfavorable. Other currencies should adjust their rate to the dollar, using the equality of imports and exports as their policy goal. If imports are greater than exports, a country may depreciate. If this trade position occurs with full imployment, then the level of imployment is being maintained by

- 20 -

some form of continued mometary expansion, which means either that the country is selling its investments abroad, a program which is not only legitimate but is also in many cases, highly desirable (e.g., the repatriation of foreign-owned investments by the semi-colonial countries), or that the country may be borrowing on long term abroad. This may be voluntary investment or it may be the type of thing which, again, fversen describes so well for Denmark. In this case, depreciation is necessary and desirable, even aside from the existence of full employment.

Now let us consider the alternative policy position. i.e., that of a country which has full employment and which still possesses an export surplus. This country, to a certain extent, is raising its own employment level at the expense of the other countries; however, it may at the same time, as indicated previously, be furnishing these experts in exchange for title to capital goods, and this may be a decirable process. However, it may be selling abroad primarily because of an undervalued currency; it may be accepting either gold, American dollars or notes from abroad, and may be using its export belance as a substitute for its own fiscal policy. This export balance, unless paralleled by the import of long-term assets, should be viewed as an undesirable phenomenon. The alternative policies evailable are (1) continuation of expansionist policies resulting in price rises, changes in relative prices, etc., or (2) ourrency appreciation. Again, internationally, these policies are equivalent. Their internal effects are different.

- 21 -

Both result in a rise in the standard of living insofar as part of the real income is drawn from abroad. Both result in increasing imports and decreasing exports.

To implement such a policy is another question. The policy suggested implies a surrender of coversignty on the parts of the countries involved — an agreement that certain techniques of international adjustment will not be used. Nowever, the only real implication is that, given the condiand tions of factor supply,/the pattern of resources, and skills in a particular country, it will attempt to maximize real income, realizing all the while that the first condition for income maximization is full employment.

Secondly, each country must undertake to do what it can within the limits of its fiscal independence and international margin, to maximize its level of employment. This means, as has been pointed out by many, that the first condition for world stability is the internal stability and expansion of the major countries. However, the surrender of severeignty here is such that the large countries must not attempt to minimize the deflationary effects of imports. The co-ordination of policies would be such that the import leakages would not be deflationary in the aggregate, for they would be followed by an expansion within the exporting countries.

Obviously, within a closed economy there are no import leakages. In a co-ordinated international fiscal policy such as has here been suggested, the foreign trade leakages would be deflationary only in the first instance; the

+ 22 +

secondary effects would be expansionist. Nuch country would be part of a closed world economy, and it would fit steelf into this economy so that the net foreign trade effect would be multher inflationary nor deflationary upon its economy.

2.

er 22 er

Basically, the economic problem is one of maximizing the production of goods and services. Any adjustment which does not fulfill that simple requirement is some form of economic "perpetual metion" machine.

The postwar readjustment within each country will be exceedingly difficult. The difficulty of adjustment will not be approxiably decreased if tariffs and other restrictions are removed. The unfortunate thing in the world is that resources are distributed in such a way that differentials in real income will, for the time being, have to continue. Free migration is not politically feasible. Nothing can be deno to raise any country's standard of living beyond what its productivity will warrant. Within that limit, a program of full employment co-ordinated with relative price adjustments would tend to maximize real income.

14 ST 14 anxi tan x2 + + + anx = by to the $a_{21}X_1 + a_{22}X_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}X_n = b_1$ "Building, the sound grables is one of selicity and the second of another states and shows to astronomy and controls "molden Lastagrang" alsomos $\|a_{ij}\| \| \times_j \| = \| \mathcal{E}_i \|$ A A B and the second of the Baller of the Baller of the bar and the Baller of the Ball alaisastelle and the show a down at bermitterelle and assument to real poons will, for the this being, been by yo) sque. free signation to not politically foreinia forthis the break of and the second a difference of the same SK(+)+) by1 the productivity will see ... point within all within to failling on the will be away assumption would beed to markelow real incomes.