

The Journal of the New Middle

The most widely read publication of the Bard College Community

No.

October

IN THIS ISSUE
Council Minutes p. 1 Linda Boldt's Reply to Dan Grady on Women's Curfew
Pennies From Heaven or
Has Anyone Seen a Money Tree Lately ? ? p. 3 by Ilene Rosen
In Defense of the Gadfly, by Jeff Mortimer p. 4
Utopia? Really? by Connie Hardingp. 5
Upon Reading "Beer and Tomato Juice", by B. Slovinsky
Letters to the Editors: From Gary S. Bratman p. 6 From Alexander Boulton
Quote of the Weekp. 1

COUNCIL ACCEPTS H.P.C. PROPOSAL. LITERATURE CLUB HAGAZINE VITHORITAN FOR LACK OF FUNDS.

Community Moderator Robert Edmonds reported to Council Monday night. He discussed the open meeting of last Thursday evening which was called to debate House Prsidents Committee's new resolution concerning senior women's curfew. Mr. Mdmonds reported that 124 people showed up thursday night; an informal poll showed that 60 were in favor of the proposal, nine were opposed and four abstained. IIr. Edmonds said that discussion centered around questioning create a tie and defeat the motion. the timing of H.P.C.'s proposal in view of all the intervisitation violations which have been handed out recently. The proposal was also criticized on the grounds that the community had not been brought into the

"The line forms to the right"--Chairman Krieger, refering to Council's policy of priority rating for returning funds.

discussion before proposals were drawn

Council then moved to a vote on the H.P.C. resolution itself, which had been postponed from last week.

· The Dean said that the Board of Trustees had an open mind concerning the extension of the regulations, but would want to know if students were taking the existing regulations seriously. He added that he wished Council would also consider the motion brought up at H.P.C. that would open women's social rooms.

Councilwoman Alison Raphael moved an amendment to the H.P.C. proposal. The amendment called for Council to recommend to the Administration and Board of Trustees the abolition of all curfews for all women students and the acknowledgment of intervisitation as school policy.

Mr. Edmonds asked if the motion had been brought up at H.P.C. Councilwoman Boldt, chairman of H.P.C., said that it had not. Mr. Edmonds then stated that by voting in favor of the amendment Miss Boldt, (who had said that she was in Towor of Miss Raphael's amendment), would "reduce the chances of the H.P.C. motion to nil."

Miss Boldt called for a rollcall vote on Miss Raphael's amendment. The voting went as Tollows:

ABSTENTION Boldt Edmonds Hodgkinson McCune Ransohoff Raphael Randolph Tremper

Chairman Kreiger voted "No" to

Miss Boldt called for a rollcall vote on the M.P.C. proposal:

Boldt Edmonds Raphael McCune Randolph Ransohoff Tremper

ABSTENTION Hodgkinson

" TINDA BOLDT'S REPLY TO DAN GRADY ON THE ISSUE OF-WOMEN'S CURFEW RELAXATION

I feel that it is my obligation as chairman of M.P.C. to comment on Mr. Grady's letter of last week since there are a number of inaccuracies in his statement:

These proposals would have the present curfew limits extended one hour, and certain curfew privileges extended to senior women."

--Nr. Grady was not present at committee presented the proposal and H.P.C. (unanimously) endorsed it. If he had been there he would have been able to discuss the proposal more accurately. Surfew will be extended only for senior women. In addition they may stay out beyond the extended hour by simply indicating where they will be and what time they eill return.

2."H.F.C. chose to insult the intelligence of the student body by offering the possibility of greater social privileges in exchange for

obedience to the rules."

-- In an H.F.C. meeting (which to abtend), I stated what I felt to be the Committee's attitude on this matter. Ho objection was made to my statement, which I will repeat for the benefit of Mr. Grady and the community: "H.P.C. imposes stricter penalties in certain instances because it seems necessary to demonstrate to the community that we, as House Presidents, take the

3. There is no guarentee that a reduction in the number of violations will impress either the Administration

or the Trustees."

-- The Dean specifically stated at the community meeting last Thursday that both the Administration and the Board of Crustees would be impressed lations.

I agree with Mr. Grady that this should not be a condition for granting iol Areedom. A twenty-year-old girl less of the laxity exhibited by the other members of the college in adhering to the social regulations. I have pointset of social regulations which are unrealistic in terms of how we live is bound to be disregarded by a great many people. The present proposal is an effort to make the social regulations more ealistic

h."H.P.C. proposes to beg the Trustees for more freedom, pleading that we have been good little boys and girls."

-- I hope that the Board will not ask for this kind of criteria from H.P.C or the community which it represents. We are not begging, nor are we in a

The motion passed 7-0-1.

MO

The Literature Club had to withdraw its planned magazine due to lack of funds in Convocation. The Club had planned to publish a magazine of liter- the H.P.C. meeting in which the subary criticism twice a semester, which would be written by students either specifically for the magazine or from calss production; in this way the proposed publication would not compete with the Bard Review or any of the other literary works on campus. The faculty advisor, Mr. Robert Coover, said that the magazine would need about \$800 to publish a 24 page magazine about the size of the New York Review of Books. It was hoped eventvally to apply for funds from some foundation to help defray expenses. The Club was requesting \$400 from Coumoil to be matched by the Administration. I believe Fr. Grady also failed to When it became evident that Council . could not come up with the money, Mr. Coover said that they would withdraw their request and come back in the first week of the spring semester.

Council voted 6-0-3 on a motion to recommend to the Administration that the birth control pamphlets, given as a class gift by last year's semior class, would be placed on tables in the rules seriously." infirmary contrary to the present system which has them available in the

infirmary only on request.

Mr. Edmonds reported on the activities of Safety Journittee. Mr. Griffiths of R&G had assured the Committee that the enterance to Ward hunor would be repaired as would the Theater road. Ar. Edmonds said that he by the reduction in the number of vicand been informed that opening the Gatehouse to traffic would not be feasible. Mr. Edmonds added that there would be no parking behind the Gym from the women of this college greater socnow on. The Faculty lot, south of the trees and next to Sottery Mall, would trees and next to Sottery Mall, would should have the right to decide when be open to student parking from 6 PH to she wants to come in at night irregardcurfew. Hew parking lots will also be built at the Manor House and Robbins Mouse. Ifr. Edmonds announced that the Bard bus was making runs into Rhinecliffed out to the Dean many times that a in time ti catch the might train on Fridays and was meeting the trains Sunday afternoon. He moved that drivers to hired at \$1.75. The motion passed 8-0-0.

Council moved 7-0-1 to consider the purchase of a sound system once some money was returned to Convocation.

Ilene Rosen \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$ position to demand. With reasoned arsuments based on a clear picture of the present situation, we hope to convince them that this is a useful and necessary change in the social regulations.

5. We also disagree with the attempt to gradually extend curfew by starting with the senior women. Why not a meaningful classification rather than an arbitrary one?"

-- Again, this is a point clearly explained at several H.P.C. meetings. The Administration feels that, in the interests of security, a small number of girls should first try this new system. Whether the administration will agree to extend these new privileges to Juniors is still an open question. It las always been the hope of H.P.C. that purfew would be abolished for the entire apper college.

6. These considerations lead us to suggest that if curfow is to be abolished, it should be abolished in fact, eliminating the need for

rules and penalies".

--H.P.C. did not ask for this ideal method at abolishing curfew because we have known from the outset that it would be unacceptable to the Administration. The later feel that im their capacity as in locus parentis they should continue to exercise some control of the students, even if this is just a requirement to state where one can be reached.

H.P.C. recognizes that the proposed regulations are more complicated and strictor then the present system. However, the necessity of enforcing them will be infrequent since very few senior women get enough violations to be put on social probation, for instance. This will definately be the case if son ior women have no set curfew, thus eliminating the probability of receiving a curfew violation. And, as Mr. Grady says, "The privilege should be wonth the bur den/of adhering to a system/".

I hope that this letter has served to clarify the many misunderstandings budgets, none excepting. What then that have arisen about our proposal to abolish curfew for senior women.

> Linda Boldt Chairman of House Presidents Committee

The Mt. Everest Award goes to Robert Judd and Peter Minichiello for climbing over twenty issues of the Gadfly, because"it was there

┶╀╃╬╇╇╇╇╬╬╬╬┼╇╬╬╬╬╬

"Peter", who played Virgil to Marc-Albert Levin of "Un Printempts a' New York" fame (Gadfly, Oct. 20), writes to us: "I have finally decided that it is almost a necessity that we have more than a rudimentary knowledge of a foreign language (English -ed.) before attempting to interview people in that language, as Marc has done, and translating the interview into an essav."

PEHNIES FROM HEAVEN or HAS LHYOHE SERN A MONEY TREE LATELY??

The mighty budget has struck out----again. It certainly looked for a while there that we just might get through the rest of the semester without making a detour into the poorhouse, but that was idle dreaming. The warning flags went up last week whon Mr. McCune announced that Council had something like \$100 in the coffers. It became painfully evident this week, when Jouncil had to vote down (or postpone--same thing), several very promising proposals, that we are, to put it bluntly, broke.

One of the more unfortunate victims of Council's queer sort of buynow-pay-later policy was the Literature Club's proposed magazine. The new publication was to be set up along the lines of the New York Review of Books. In it were to be all sorts of literary criticism, works done in and out of class. I even heard the interesting

possibility of film criticism.

Anyway, this bright-eyed proposal had to be withdrawn when it became clear (which was almost immediately) to Mr. Coover and his associates that Jouncil was in a financial bind. Council offered to put the \$400 requ est into a filewhich they call "top priority request allotment". The magazine whould have had good company; the Observer is already up there for the first \$160 which is returned, so is the proposed sound system (also a very reasonable idea). Mr. Coover wisely chose to withdraw the entire proposal and practice what they used to do in Brooklyn, "wait 'til next year."

Unfortunately, or fortunately as the case may be, Council itself cannot be blamed for this financial drought. Most of the budgets were submitted before-hand and the budget committee did a ruthless hatchot job on all is the reason for this chronic crisis which we seem to go through mid way every semester? Possibly we should recognize the existence of an increased number of extra-cirricular clubs which have sprouted up in the last couple of years. Attempts at solving the old complaint of "nothing to do on the weekends" has resulted in all sorts of exotic and not-so-exotic interest groups, all of which come to Council for financing. Also the cost of living has gone up and it simply costs more for the cstablished clubs and committees to continue to serve the community.

Perhaps we should start thinking about the unthinkable? Perhaps it is now time to consider a raise in the Convocation funds. We're paying \$25 a semester now, maybe we should considor 035 or even \$50. One thing is For certain, Council cannot continue to take the temporary holding action

which it is taking at the present. One ten almost entirely by the editors). chilling reminder, we broke even last semoster.

: Ilone Rosen

IN DEFENSE OF THE GADELY

Meedless to say, I am pleased that my favorite weakly campus publication was the subject of a feature article in the other weekly campus publication. I am, however, somewhat saddened by what appears to be an extromely faulty analysis.

I am not entirely sure what, exactly, the criticism of the Gadfly is. Is it that we don't have an ident- receive no more and no less considerity, or that our identity is unsatisfactory to Mr. Judd and Mr. Minichiel lo, or that we don't have the identity that we said we were going to have, or what?

The only identity the Gadfly has ever claimed for itself is that of a forum of student opinion. Hopefully, that opinion would in fact be diversified. Wo fell short of the mark in our first semester of publication because of a dearth of outside contributions. Messee Judd and Minichiello. were good enough to quote the statement of the editors to that effect in our first issue this term. Unfortunat- ition of "constructive" differs vastly cly, like most of the quotes in their article, this one was lifted entirely out of context. I did say "we had not intended the Gadfly to become an organ of only one kind of opinion." But I did not go on to say that we intended to remain such a journal. I did say that "we had hoped to have a larger staff..., and we constantly encouraged contributions from outside ourselves, " and that "...we need a diversity of viewpoint...

that the Gadfly has not lived up to its Sundays was adopted. A proposal pubpromise to print satire escept in one lished in the Gadfly to revise regisinstance. I would like to remind them tration procedures led to a lessening of the various adventures into the future" of last term, as well as numer- on the conditions of student housing ous other instances, some admittedly less successful than others.

A sort of statistical analysis is attempted, in order to prove that ions ("...only 24 letters up to Vol. II, sion on such issues as the funding of No.4"). I suggest that a more valid Council, the activities of B.R.A.C., the average number of outside contrib- curfew, the potentialities of the comutions per issue during last semester, and compare that with the average number for this term. I think such an analysis would show conclusively that the Gadfly is beginning to succeed in its goal of becoming a forum for student opinion. For example, we have printed eighteen outside contributions in six issues, an average of three per issue (and this includes the first is sue which was, out of necessity, writ-

We have, in fact, received so many outside contributions that we have been hard-pressed to print all of them.

Mossrs. Judd and Minichiello repeatedly refer to the "editorial voice" of the Gadfly, its "editorial statements" and "editorial replies". I think they should be aware of the fact that, except in extremely rare instances such as appeals for funds, no article is ever signed "The Editors". Contributions are printed that are signed by one or another of the editors, but these represent their personal opinions, not the opinion of the Gadfly. In decisions to print material, articles by an editor or a member of the staff ation than articles and letters from other members of the community.

Among the so-called "random" quotes listed in the article, are excorpts (torn completely out of contoxt) from statements by Mr. Faylor, Miss Rosen, Rik Souder, and myself. Hone of these represent the opinion of the Gadfly, because such an opinion does not exist.

Finally, we are told that "... the capacity to act constructively ... has been employed decreasingly since its /the Gadily's incoption." Perhaps Messrs. Judd and Minichiello's definfrom mine, but considerable evidence could be marshalled that would show the Gadfly to be one of the most constructive publications on campus (this is an opinion shared by the Dean, Mr. Fessler, and various members of the student body. Vide letters in this and recent issues of the Gadfly).

For example, the Gadily was, for a long time, the only campus publication to print a wookly report of Council meetings. A proposal published in the Mr. Judd and Mr. Minichiello say Gadfly to open the library earlier on of problems at that event. A comment led to Administration action. A comment on Bard 's sabbatical policy led to a clarification by the Dean of that policy. The medium of the Gadfly has the Gadfly used few outside contribut- been used to articulate student discuskind of analysis would be to determine the proposed abolition of senior women's munity vehiclo, and informing the senior class of its responsibilities, In the last issue, rights, otc. appears a constructive suggestion from Mr. Faylor concerning the bases for discussion of a "pass-fail" grading system at Bard.

> In conclusion, gentlemen, the Gadfly is not, and does not intend to be, image-conscious. It hopes, for the umpteenth time, to be a forum for com

munity opinion and, by implication,
"...a critical but ultimately constructive force in the affairs of the community." Perhaps it is immedest of me
to say it, but in terms of the function we hope to fulfill, we have very
little to regret and, perhaps, much of
which we can be proud.

Jeffrey T. Mortimer

UTOPIA? ALALLA

-1 Realy to "Leer and Yourte Juice"-

One of the dictionary Cadimitions of propiois, "and improcised school downship improvetont," and how well it madices to a deridian Ulopis. A atomic sould all this be familiately, but noted also breed boredom, the lack of ampition, complaisancy, and good old fashion laziless.

Contrary to the thoughts expressed in "Beer and Tomato Juice" in last week's Gadfly, I have not found the administration to be paranoid. Weither have I been told " to do, but not be seen, to talk and not to be heard." In fact, I have found the opposite to be true. If classes were to be eliminated, where would be find the stimulation, challenge, and incentive (not to mention knowledge)? Perhaps in more use of potential in more meaningless, imitation love?

Coupled with all this advocated freedom must to responsibility. Yes, "we keep getting this shit about endoment thrown at us," yet we fail to realize that we ourselves have been super-lluously endowed with knowledge, etc., and there are few exceptions among us. However, despite that fact, I do not think that we "Bardian Hip-pies", at least not most of us, have enough per-lonal responsibility to exist in a "Bardian U-lopia".

If this "middle of the road college" is friad "to commit itself to an extreme," than I m glad to be a scared Bardian, You have not leard perhaps of "discrete" moderation and moderate discretion?" Extremism eventually creates haps.

If we cannot be practical, let us at least be ealistic. Educational institutions (or are they ow all called whore houses) do have a definite urpose. Are we all so self-sufficient as to equire no guidance? Is our intellectual proiciency such that classes in a college should e eliminated? Sure, if the boys in Vietnam are esponsible enough to fight and die, we are reponsible too. But the trouble is, they are orced to take on complete responsibility before ost of them are ready for it, and that is the ault of our country. Until we can use what reponsibility and freedom we already have to some enefit, let!s not ask for more. We will get it oon enough.

Connie Harding

The GADFLY is a weekly journal of comment, criticism and satire of the activities of the Bard College Community. Letters and general correspondence should be addressed to Box 81, Campus mail.

UPON ABADING "BEEK AND TOMATO JUICE"

After reading the article in the Gadfly I found myself in a quandry - was this a satire or was this actually a serious commentary? Unfortunately it was written in all earnestness and my reaction then was - How can you be so blind?

It is wonderful to dream of a Utopia and to dwell within the realm of abstracts. However one can not deny the practical reality of the world in which we live. You speak of a Bardian Utopia A fine idea, yes, I cannot deny that, however, prerequisite for this must be mature students capable of living in and running through such a society. Come now, let's be frank - that does not exist or in the realm outside of our own narrow bounds to any great degree.

You speak of "trite social conventions such as curfew and intervisitation violations, " "free determination of individual conduct," and "If you're still hung up about endowments, ask yourself: Would you rather go to a rich college or a good one?" Children, may I saw that if we don't receive some good endowments at Bard we will not have much of a college, good, bad, rich poor, or otherwise. The administration position may be shocking and disturbing but the fact is that they are walking a thin line - wanting a liberal attitude at Bard and placing responsibilities upon students, and desperately pleading for endowments. The administration is caught between students and those living outside of the Bard community. It can rest in heither camp due to its peculiar position.

This is not the advocation of the downfall of the Bard system. I advocate the re-examination of the Bard society at all levels. Hopefully, it will lead to a more rewarding, stimulating, and knowledgable life at Bard. We must realize that we do not live within a closed environment consisting of Bard College. We are a part of this world, like it or not. Once we realize this, then and only then can we initiate our ideas, withdrawing from the realities offers no solution for we would then merely exist.

Admit to the totality of life, admit the reality of it. Know the facts, all of them, though many will deflate your balloons ofidealism. Come to a realizsation of the entirety of the situation. This does not mean a blind acceptance of all existing doctrines and practices. It means a knowledge of all the factors contributing to a given situation and - what are the rules of the game? Noble Crusaders - you parade out on a football field ready to play a game of marbles, though your game may be admirable and your hearts pure, you will, in a popular term, be "creamed" you don't stand a chance. Flease wake up - realize our potentials, our limits, and the boundaries in which we must work.

B. Slovinsky

TO THE EDITORS:

To the editors,

There has been a hell of a lot of discussion concerning "The Gadfly" People use it as a name-calling board. Someone says "The Bard Run Around Crying committee is a bunch of nimnies," backs this up with a few vague facts, a liberal dash of sarcasm and his signature (after all, who's going to know he had the guts to attack this anti-establishment establishment without his signature ...and the editors frown on unsigned letters so much that they won't print them) and drops his little time bomb in Box 81. Fromptly next week one or two of the High-Ups in the Bard Run Around Crying committee prefacing their remarks with something like "Mr Hateful's comments barely deserve intellectual consideration because of the infantile manner of his damn stupid insinuations," go on (sometimes for pages) to infantilely insinuate the Mr. Hateful is a bunch of ninnies and so is the Gadfly Of course not all of the name calling comes from beyond the pale; the editors are pretty good at throwing mudpies too.

People wind up asking themselves "What is the purpose of the Gadfly?" Some answers include: A) A couple of nuts trying to make Council look designed to make the mighty Observer look like so much dog food; C) Another piece of mimeographed junk to litter the mailroom floor; or D) Bard's answer to the National Enquirer. Let's look at these assumptions.

First, Council tries swfully hard not to look like monkeys; when they do we just have to remind ourselves that there are a great many simianas for point B, up until about four weeks ago, nothing could make the Observer look any worse than it was, but -- surprise, surprise -- it's really improved and Band may find itself stuck with a really worthwhile with cars, as in the past. newspaper yet. And maybe some of the little digs put into print by the members of the Gadfly had some thing to do with this change. As for the last two items, i'll just say that the Bard mimeo machine has a tendency to turn out a hell of a lot of garbage and I think whoever compared the Gawifly to the Wational Enquirer should be ash med of himself for reading such things.

So what is the <u>Gadfly?</u> Possibly

it is a political cartoon without pic-____ tures, or parhaps a letters to the editors column of Bellevue, but it does serve a few basic purposes -- it reports on the mysterious rites of Council, it gives a few children the opportunity to sound off and throw verbal butterscotch pudding at each other in the "My daddy can lick your daddy" manner, and it gets something said! Some damn good ideas come out of this little rag. It may make the maelstrom of registration a little easier to get through, it may make some of the mightier of Bard's institutions tell the rest of us what they're doing, and it might even make Bard a little better place academically. So maybe a little backbiting works. All I can say is that I think Ilene, Jack, and Jeff are doing this community a service. Good work!

Respectfully,

- Gary S. Bratman

╃╋╃╏╇┡╇╇┩╂╂╏┠╟┿╋╏┡┿╃╏╇╇╏╇╇╏╇╇╬╇╇╃╇╃╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇ ╃╀╃╇╋╇╇╇╄╄╈┾╋┈┡┼┼┼┼┼╇╃╋╋╇┿┿┽┼┾┽┊┶╁┼┾┽┼┼┼┼

To the editors,

A recently published letter in the Gadfly on the use of the Bard Community Tehicle was plagued by a number of problems of misinformation.

First, the Community V.W. is the property of the student body, its keys, records of its use, and responsibility for its repairs and orderly use all lie with the Keeper of the Keys the Chairman of the Safety Committee. Further, the Bard Community Vehicle has just concluded a series of test runs to the Rhinecliff station to determine need. The result: last Sunday like a lot of monkeys; B) A newspaper evening alone, eighteen students were transported back to their dormitories from the Rhinecliff station; it was favorable. Runs to and from the Rhinecliff station will leave from Sottery Hall Friday at 9:00 PM, and Sunday will leave at 6:10 and 8:00 to meet the 6:43 and 8:30 Trains from New York. The suggestion to run the V.W. to the Infirmary is, as most students will realize, somewhat impractical. The vehicle already has a heavy schedule, used by the Entertainment Committee, the Dance Club, the Observer, a folk music group, as well as like Bardians so what can you expect? BRAC this week alone. The suggested proposal would necessitate a driver being constantly on call for the several various moments when students find themselves with hangovers, cramps, etc. Trips to the Infirmary will most probably in the future, therefore, still rely on friends

Lastly, I have a question of my own. Agreed students often become confused and fall to check out the relevant points of fact in their confusion, but does not the Gadfly have a responsibility to their readers to check these facts and dispell any misunderstanding before it arises?

Sincerely,

Alexander Boulton . Member, Safety Committee