

1994

## Is 'Keynesian Policy' Still Viable?

Hyman P. Minsky Ph.D.

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm\\_archive](https://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm_archive)

 Part of the [Economic Theory Commons](#), [Finance Commons](#), and the [Macroeconomics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Minsky, Hyman P. Ph.D., "Is 'Keynesian Policy' Still Viable?" (1994). *Hyman P. Minsky Archive*. 11.  
[https://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm\\_archive/11](https://digitalcommons.bard.edu/hm_archive/11)

This Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by the Levy Economics Institute of Bard College at Bard Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hyman P. Minsky Archive by an authorized administrator of Bard Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@bard.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@bard.edu).

# Is "Keynesian Policy" still Viable?

Keynesian theory is not just a theory that validates "demand management" by fiscal policy.

J Robinson: Marx is a Socialist who analysed Capitalism  
Marshall is a Capitalist who analysed Socialism  
Keynes is a capitalist who analysed capitalism

But Keynes' analysis of capitalism uncovered flaws in capitalism due to the very nature of capitalism.

The euphemism of "monetary production economy" is then the honest description of what we have: a capitalist economy with complex financial structure ~~and~~ in which the preferred organizational form is a corporation.

[The New Deal, <sup>as</sup> Robinson ~~the~~ of ~~the~~ corporate law, financial markets, and banking made clear the importance of the corporate sector]

Keynes was aware of what was going on in the states. He came to Chicago in 1931 to sell the analysis of the Treatise on Money, ~~as he left~~

Chicago with the germ of The General Theory.

In Chicago in 1931 Real estate + other assets were falling to prices.

The problem for the banks of falling prices was the price that resulted from the Chicago trip.

Instead of ~~the~~ focusing in the relation between money and <sup>the</sup> price level the question became what determines the price level of capital assets: what determines the flow of financing for investment.

The <sup>lost</sup> message of the General Theory:  
Keynes ~~stated~~ lost - which Keynes

never fully recognized - although it is "explicit" in his Risher festschrift paper + in his rebuttal to Vinson.

also in his g, c, l. arguments in the G. V. -  
He may not have shed all his "skins".

- Capitalism is an economic order in which
- 1) Men who control capital assets + firms have borrowed money to achieve this control
  - 2) Capital Assets, firms, parts of firms are bought, sold + refinanced

It follows that there are two price levels in a capitalist economy

- (1) the "conventional" or gnp deflator
- (2) the price level of "existing capital assets": of

bonds, stocks, subdivisions etc

What happened during the great contraction of 1929-1933.

end result.

output	↓ 30%
prices	↓ 30%
gnp	→ 50% $(.7 \times .7) = .49$

but Dow Jones Standard + Poors  
→ ↓ 85%

The Great Depression in the United States decimated the wealth of large sections of the economy: Arthur Miller's The Price as a preferred statement of the impact of the Great Depression:

(I was in Cambridge Eng at the Arts Theatre when I believe was a gift of Keynes to Cambridge)

Why was there a great decline in the price level of capital assets

"Make positions by selling out positions" → drop in price of assets

~~Prevent~~ financial institutions.

drop in value of institutions like Britain →

Bankruptcy of Banks → decrease in liquid assets of public

stop financing flows.

drop the value of assets below the value of cost of production of investment outputs

do a small government capitalism

$\Pi = I$  : { the value of the gross cash flows the  $\Pi$ 's ↓

$P_K =$  Present Value of Expected Profits

# Keynesian Policy

- a) certain explosions of liquidity value which <sup>lower P<sub>x</sub></sup>
- b) prevent a collapse of the monetarists which <sup>lower P<sub>x</sub></sup> drastically lower PIY<sub>0</sub>.

IN THE FIRST REAL TEST OF THE VIABILITY OF THE FINANCIAL SYSTEM IN THE LATE 1980s-1990s:

Government refinanced "financial institutions" so that there was no need to "make profits by selling out profits" i.e. prevent a drastic/ballistic price of assets underlying Bank assets.

So called "Keynesian" demand policy is ~~in early part of a "policy package"~~

but is ~~historical~~ "Hansonian"

Belief in the exhaustion of real growth ~~through~~ growth → emphasis upon

"consumption"; i.e. transfer payments, the

so called entitlements



## Keynesian policy

16

In his days  $G$  as big as  $20\%$

G.N.P. as we now have was "trade

off limits". H. Simons' radical position

called for  $S$  <sup>to be</sup> 107. G.M.P.

financed by progressive income tax

to reduce the disposition of income  $x$

A. ~~government~~ GOVERNMENT big

enough to maintain  $II$  when  $I$

+ (Trade balance) do not maintain  $II$

MY view  
Stabilizing  
all other things  
"Economy"

## Keynesian Policy:

Investment oriented spending

above & beyond the "operating element"

29.

Sustain price of primary assets so that demand  
for investment is sustained

Biz inefficient